will hear findings from this here today.

Working with the NEI, we are developing a reliable research tool that will allow us to look at quality of life post-LASIK. This web-based tool will be an important addition to our study. There has been a great deal of progress with this, but it is not yet complete.

Finally, we are developing a study design under the leadership of Dr. Steve Schallhorn. You will hear more on this today as well.

Both the ASCRS and AAO have announced their support, participation and cofunding of the study to look at quality of life post-LASIK. We believe the primary patient advocate is their physician. The study and the work of the task force are consistent with this mission.

After the task force's careful review, we have learned that there is a need to understand quality of life after LASIK

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

better so we can incorporate key findings that will enable an even greater percentage of LASIK patients to have the experience they expect.

We understand fully that quality of life is not the same thing as safety or effectiveness. Those can be measured objectively, but quality of life is a subjective experience.

Many factors bear on it, from the medical to the psychological, people's expectations for their vision and for the outcome of the procedure, to the way we address both of those in our communication with patients and in our patient screening.

Again, that is the level of understanding that we are trying to achieve through this study so that we can maintain our already high satisfaction rates, continue to improve them, and address the concerns we are hearing today. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. Our

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

last speaker before we take a 15 minute break will be Dr. Eric Donnenfeld.

DR. DONNENFELD: Good morning. My name is Dr. Eric Donnenfeld. I am a clinical professor of ophthalmology at NYU and chair the Board of Overseers at Dartmouth Medical School.

I represent the American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery where I serve as Chairman of the Cornea Clinical Committee.

Most importantly, I am here to represent the interests of my patients.

I am a consultant to excimer laser manufacturers, AMO and Bausch & Lomb. I was an original FDA investigator for the excimer laser, and I have been performing laser vision correction for 18 years.

Approximately 40 percent of the adult population in the United States is hindered by poor uncorrected vision. Among the most significant advances during my career has been LASIK. My passion and the passion of

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

my colleagues has been to reduce the dependence of patients on glasses and contact lenses, and to improve their quality of life.

I have performed LASIK on over 800 eye doctors and their families. The reality is that following LASIK, the great majority of our patients see as well or better than they ever saw with their glasses or the contact lenses with which they were unhappy, the reason they chose to explore LASIK in the first place.

As surgeons, we rejoice in our patients' success, and we understand the immense responsibility that comes with this option. We are deeply committed to both the well-being of our patients and the safety and efficacy of LASIK.

LASIK has always been very safe, and with technological advances has continued to improve. The risk of infection over a lifetime is more than 100 times greater with contact lenses than with LASIK.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The majority of our patients have less glare and halo after LASIK than before. However, we cannot be satisfied until all complications have been eliminated.

Today, I will discuss dry eye. Dry eye is extremely common and is the number one medical reason patients are evaluated by an eye care professional. Dry eye affects an estimated 55 million Americans and impacts our patients' quality of life, their comfort and their ability to perform everyday activities.

In an effort to better understand dry eye, the LASIK Task Force reviewed the world's literature on dry eye following LASIK.

Today I am presenting the preliminary results for the first time.

There are 113 peer review articles on dry eye following LASIK and 46 papers containing reviewable data. The papers were published in 13 journals, representing 15 countries. The data represents over 32,000 eyes.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Thirty-two percent of these patients were diagnosed with dry eye before LASIK. A similar 35 percent had dry eye following surgery.

The great majority of patients have complete resolution of their dry eye symptoms over a two- to four-week period following surgery. Severe dry eye following LASIK is extremely rare.

Modern, thin-flap LASIK, advances in artificial tears, topical cyclosporine-A, nutritional supplements, and the treatment of eyelid disease are improving outcomes.

Ophthalmology is committed to developing treatment options, and there are many exciting therapies currently undergoing FDA evaluation that offer great promise, not only for LASIK patients but to the tens of millions of patients with dry eye disease who have not had LASIK. Conclusively, LASIK improves patient lives.

I would like to close by recalling

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

a remarkable young man I first met when he was three. Nick had chickenpox like millions of other children, but in his case the virus spread to his left eye. When he was nine years old, he required a corneal transplant.

Wheeling him into the operating room, this remarkable child handed me a note.

I unrolled the crumbled piece of paper. I keep it to this day. The note read: "Dr. Donnenfeld, I sure hope you know what you are doing." True words of wisdom.

The transplant went well, but Nick remained functionally blind in his left for six additional years until I performed LASIK.

Nick graduated from college last year and doesn't worry about his vision, because thanks to his corneal transplant and LASIK, his vision is now normal.

Please allow me to introduce Nick Anderson and his mother, Clara. Nick, Clara.

(Applause.)

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: We've got about

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	13 seconds.
2	DR. DONNENFELD: You've got five
3	seconds, Nick.
4	MR. ANDERSON: I would just like to
5	thank the family that donated the cornea to
6	me, and Dr. Donnenfeld for giving me my
7	eyesight back. Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you.
9	MS. ANDERSON: I would just like to
10	say thank you to Dr. Donnenfeld and to God.
11	having a child who can't see, no depth
12	perception, no peripheral vision He can
13	see. He can run. He can walk. He can
14	participate.
15	CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you very
16	much.
17	We are going to now proceed with
18	our break for 15 minutes. Be back here

back on the record at 10:19 a.m.)

went off the record at 10:06 a.m. and went

promptly. We will start on time. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the foregoing matter

19

20

21

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Could everyone take their seats, please. We are going to If we could have Dr. Roger Barnes come up to the podium. He will be the next speaker, and he will be speaking for Dr. Doyle Stulting.

We are going to begin the second portion of this morning's open public hearing on LASIK. Our next speaker is Dr. Roger Barnes.

DR. BARNES: Good morning. I am Dr. Scott Barnes, and I would like the Chair's permission to read the written comments of Dr. Stulting. I will be reading in the third person, as it is a little easier for me.

Dr. Stulting is Professor of Ophthalmology and Director of the Cornea and Refractive Surgery Service at Emory University in Atlanta, Georgia. He represents the American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery.

Dr. Stulting has been performing refractive surgery for 25 years and has

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

participated in a clinical trial of LASIK before the first excimer laser was approved for us in the United States.

also He was а member of the Ophthalmic Devices Panel for 10 years and Chairman of that Panel for three. During that time, he helped write the first FDA guideline for evaluation of excimer lasers for correction of myopia, and was a member of the panel when the first excimer laser approved more than a decade ago.

In addition to practicing medicine, Dr. Stulting is a consultant for AMO which manufactures an excimer laser.

Since the first clinical trials, we have worked diligently to improve the quality of vision after LASIK. We have come to understand that every 20/20 vision is not necessarily the same. For example, functional night driving vision may not be the same as the vision that we measure in our offices.

Today most patients who undergo

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

LASIK achieve vision that is 20/20 or even better. In fact, 61 percent of patients achieved vision that was better than 20/20 in a recent clinical trial.

In addition, these patients actually reported less glare, less light sensitivity, and less night driving difficulty than they did before they had their LASIK.

Despite these advances in technology, we know that a small number of individuals do not obtain the results that we have come to expect for this extremely effective procedure.

We are concerned about any disappointing outcomes, and to help us better understand the issue we have developed methods for evaluating the optical system of the eye in greater detail than ever before.

We have also developed methods to correct abnormalities of the optical system with treatments customized to address the unique needs of every patient.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

In addition, we have instituted screening procedures that can help to identify those few patients who might have less than optimal results after the procedure.

At Dr. Stulting's laser center, the patient's initial examination lasts for approximately two hours. This comprehensive evaluation includes complete а eye examination, wavefront measurements, detailed analysis of the curvature and thickness of the cornea, measurements of the pupil diameter in lighting conditions, assessment various tear production, evaluation of the ocular surface, and a personalized discussion of the procedure with each patient.

His goal is to be certain that the results of the procedure will meet the individual needs and expectations of each patient. In fact, Dr. Stulting routinely tells a number of patients who come to him seeking refractive surgery that they are not candidates for LASIK under any circumstances.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The results of our collaboration with the American Academy of Ophthalmology, the National Eye Institute, and the FDA will allow us to refine our screening procedures even further. Our goal, like the goal of everyone here today, is to do everything possible to be certain that each patient achieves a result consistent with his or her visual needs and expectations.

In closing, I would like to add a brief personal comment. A young Captain who was leading 120 men in his company lost his glasses in ambush and a subsequent firefight. Couldn't locate his glasses, but he was able to make it himself back to his Humvee, which was his Jeep or his vehicle.

He jumped in and told the 18-yearold corporal that he just needed to drive to
safety. The corporal asked, what do I do?
The Captain said, I can't even see you, much
less where to go; you've got to get us to
safety.

NEAL R. GROSS

To his platoon, to that Captain's platoon, this leader is now a combat risk, unable to lead anytime that he should happen to lose his glasses. Thankfully, that 18-year-old corporal was able to lead the patrol to safety.

Well, as soon as they all returned home, however, this Captain came to my office essentially demanding laser refractive surgery. He said he will never put himself or his men in that kind of position again. After successful refractive surgery, he told me, doc, I've gone from a combat risk to a combat asset now that my eyes allow me to lead in the way in which I had been trained, the way that my guys deserve.

I can't tell you how often I hear these kinds of stories from soldiers after their laser refractive surgery. In fact, the command I hear most often is this: Doc, laser refractive surgery is the best thing the Army has ever done for me.

NEAL R. GROSS

Personally, I know that to be true, because back when I used to be wearing a green beret for my job, I had laser eye surgery didn't myself. I know how to spell ophthalmology. I didn't know anything about eyes. But I was so impressed with it that I decided I was going to become an eye surgeon, and I was going to bring this back to the Special Operations community, which is what I am currently doing in my role as the Chief of the Warfighter Refractive Eye Surgery Program at Fort Bragg, North Carolina.

Thank you for allowing Dr. Stulting's and my comments to be added today.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Dr. Kerry Solomon.

DR. SOLOMON: Good morning. My name is Dr. Kerry Solomon, and I welcome the opportunity to discuss the recently completed meta analysis of patient satisfaction after laser eye surgery.

Just to give you a little

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

background, I was fortunate to be one of the original investigators of laser vision correction, and have been performing this procedure for 13 years.

Concurrently, I have a full time academic practice, specializing in cataract and refractive surgery as well as corneal transplantation at the Medical University of South Carolina where I am a Professor of Ophthalmology and Director of the McGill Vision Center. I am also a LASIK patient.

Before I begin, it is appropriate for me to disclose that I consult with AMO, Alcon and Bausch & Lomb, all companies which are manufacturers of excimer lasers used to perform LASIK.

To avoid any appearance of conflict, this analysis of the studies I will discuss today was completed by my colleagues, research students and faculty and resident physicians, none of whom work as consultants to any of the before mentioned companies.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I am here to represent the American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery, ASCRS, where I have served as the Chairman of the FDA Liaison Committee for the past four and a half years. The goal of this committee is, and has been, to facilitate communication between the FDA and ASCRS membership. I also serve as co-chair of the Joint LASIK Study Task Force.

The ASCRS was contacted by the FDA in response to various inquiries the FDA received from LASIK patients. Although the FDA had already conducted a review of the medical literature, finding the majority of patients were satisfied after their LASIK surgery, I was asked to perform an independent review of the world's literature regarding LASIK patient satisfaction, or meta analysis.

The review of the world's literature demonstrates a greater that 95 percent of patients from around the world are satisfied after their LASIK eye surgery. This

NEAL R. GROSS

is amongst the highest percentage of patient satisfaction reported for any elective surgery.

This review encompasses all articles from the inception of LASIK to the most recent articles published in 2008. First, all databases available online at the Medical University of South Carolina were searched using search terms to be inclusive of every article ever written about LASIK eye surgery since the inception of LASIK.

2,915 abstracts were identified, and each abstract was reviewed. 1,581 articles were pertinent. They were obtained, reviewed, and read, and based on the strength of the evidence, 309 articles were identified and entered into the database.

These 309 articles represent peer reviewed, well performed prospective, retrospective or case controlled studies.

These have all been published in major ophthalmic journals from all over the world.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The locations of these studies also represent a global distribution.

Nineteen of the 309 articles identified specifically addressed patient satisfaction and quality of life. These articles represent surgeries from 1995 to 2003, performed in 13 different countries, seven of which were in the United States. The remaining 12 were performed in 12 different countries.

As stated, the overall review of the world's literature shows the vast majority of patients are satisfied with their LASIK surgery, 95.4 percent. When further looking into these studies, no matter how the data was evaluated, the same 95 percent satisfaction rate still appears.

There is the same level of satisfaction, regardless of the type of prescription treated with LASIK. Whether the surgery was at the inception of LASIK or the most recently published study for which we

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

have data, whether the surgery was performed in the United States or elsewhere, whether validated questionnaires were used or not, whether questionnaires were administered anonymously or not, whether the questions were asked in the first six months or after the first six months and out as far as five years, the same conclusion exists. Ninety-five percent satisfaction rate is consistent.

In conclusion, this review of the world's literature has shown that the majority of patients are satisfied with their LASIK surgery and confirms the findings of the FDA.

study is meant This to set baseline of knowledge regarding patient satisfaction. As a physician, our goal is to improve the quality of patients' lives every That is why I get up every morning day. excited to go to the office. It is why I chose this profession. However, no surgery is without risks. No surgery is perfect, and not every patient is a candidate for this surgery.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

It was with the goal of identifying and reducing these risks that this analysis was done. It is this goal that drives us to do more than just see and treat patients, but to be involved in task forces like this, to do research, to teach, to publish, and to consult. It is why we are here today.

When a patient experiences a complication or is dissatisfied with the outcome of their LASIK surgery, it affects all of us. I am confident that today's meeting will be a key step toward taking what is already a very successful procedure to an even higher level. Thank you very much for your time today.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. And just for clarification, I believe that the ASCRS independently decided to do literature review, and there was no participation or request from either the FDA or the American Academy of Ophthalmology.

DR. SOLOMON: This was independent

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

from the FDA or the National Eye Institute. Yes, ma'am. CHAIRPERSON WEISS: I would like the audience to understand that point. you very much, Dr. Solomon. Our next speaker will be Todd Krouner. Thank you. MR. KROUNER: My name is Todd J. plaintiff's medical Krouner. Ι а am 10 malpractice attorney from Chappaqua, New York. I am here at my own expense today. 11 represent victims of LASIK and 12 13 related eye malpractice on a national basis. Most of my cases involve high volume LASIK 14 facilities that fail to screen properly for 15 keratakonis or other contraindications 16 17 surgery. am not here to criticize the 18 safety of LASIK. I am not here to criticize 19 ophthalmologists generally or LASIK surgeons 20 in particular. I am here to encourage the FDA

NEAL R. GROSS

can

to

it

to

do

whatever

21

22

prevent

the

conversion of eyes to commodities by doing four things:

First, encouraging the effective and safe training of LASIK surgeons; second, encouraging the reporting of adverse outcomes by the industry; third, commissioning an independent study of LASIK patient satisfaction; and fourth, reporting on its findings and making its data available on a timely basis.

The LASIK industry does not police itself effectively. Many doctors have completed rigorous training with cornea fellowships. However, many LASIK surgeons have not.

The surgery itself is not that complex. However, the screening process can be. More time, skill and care need to be invested to assure that unsuitable LASIK candidates are screened out.

The LASIK industry under-reports adverse outcomes. Even doctors with a duty to

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

report have failed to do so. Voluntary reporting should be encouraged. Mandatory reporting failures should be enforced with meaningful sanctions.

If the LASIK community really believes that patient satisfaction at runs upwards of 95 percent, then I suggest it should welcome with open arms an independent study to prove this.

Patient satisfaction must be measured by both qualitative and quantitative measures. It is not enough to say the patient has good visual acuity.

My client, Mark Schiffer, had good visual acuity. He had poor visual quality. When his eye doctor saw this iTrace result, and focus on the top right quadrant where there is an "E," which depicted how the patient saw an E on the eye chart, the doctor scheduled his corneal transplant.

When Ros Martinez in Virginia Beach complained of poor visual quality, her

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

optometrist used this NIDEK technology to demonstrate how poor her vision was, even though her LASIK surgeon boasted of 20/30 corrected visual acuity and 20/40 uncorrected visual acuity.

In March 2008, in a biographical story in the New York Times, Abby Ellin described her own LASIK surgery regret. She described her doctor's false measure of success based solely on her good visual acuity. However, it is only half of one's vision. Visual quality comprises the other half.

impeccably credentialed For an LASIK surgeon to say the surgery successful because the patient has good visual acuity is, frankly, dishonest. Yet I hear it often, of the homemaker from West Virginia who took her cat's medication while the cat took her thyroid medication for three days due to blurred vision, of the surgical assistant in Virginia Beach who cut the patient instead

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of the surgical thread due to poor contrast sensitivity and impaired depth perception, and of the executive in New Jersey whose son asks, daddy, why don't you play with me anymore, due to photosensitivity and irritation from the elements, including wind, dust and sand.

If just one percent of LASIK patients have a bad outcome, depending on one's numbers, that may mean upwards of 10,000 patients per year will suffer potentially serious visual disability.

Ιt is reported that the overwhelming majority of such cases are avoidable or, in my view, constitute presumptive evidence of medical malpractice. Studies indicate that these cases are a result either the doctor's failure to of properly or missing warning signs such as keratakonis, or the surgeon cutting the cornea too thin, giving rise to post-LASIK ectasia.

The likelihood of serious visual disability in this patient population is high.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

As visual learners, 85 percent of what we perceive comes through our eyes. Consequently, the likelihood of clinical depression in this visually disabled population is high. The incidence of suicide in this population, while exceedingly rare, is not hard to fathom.

I look forward to coming back and speaking on behalf of Amanda Campbell. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Dr. Peter McDonnell.

DR. McDONNELL: Good morning. My name is Dr. Peter McDonnell, and I appreciate the opportunity to address the Panel this morning.

I am the William Holland Wilmer Professor of Ophthalmology and Director of the Wilmer Eye Institute of the Johns Hopkins School of Medicine. I am a corneal surgeon and was involved in the early clinical and preclinical studies of excimer laser surgery.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Two of my sisters had the LASIK procedure performed on their eyes several years ago.

I wish to make the following disclosures. My research has been, and is currently, supported by Federal grant funding.

I have in the past served as a clinical investigator in industry sponsored clinical trials of excimer laser technology.

I currently serve in a consultative role for the Scientific Advisory Board for a company that markets an excimer laser used in LASIK surgery, but my consultation has not involved this area of the company's research.

Today I represent the International Society of Refractive Surgeons of the American Academy of Ophthalmology, known as ISRSAAO, the world's largest eye care organization solely dedicated to refractive surgery. It has more than 2300 members from more than 80 different countries.

ISRSAAO wishes to bring the following information to the attention of the

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

FDA and Panel members.

Nearsightedness, farsightedness, astigmatism, and presbyopia affect 40 percent or more of the U.S. Population. These conditions are associated with measurable negative impacts upon quality of life and require correction with glasses, contact lenses or surgery.

About 700,000 Americans have LASIK surgery annually. Over 90 percent of people who have LASIK for nearsightedness achieve somewhere between 20/20 and 20/40 vision without glasses or contact lenses.

DASIK is the most studied elective ophthalmic procedure. Collectively, 7,830 patients representing 16,502 eyes participated in FDA clinical trials between 1993 and 2005. Initial findings from a world review of the scientific literature found an average satisfaction rate of 95.4 percent among postoperative patients.

I believe it is safe to say that no

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

elective ophthalmic surgical procedure has been as fully studied as has this procedure.

From its approval by the FDA in the mid-Nineties, LASIK has benefitted millions of patients. Over the years, incremental improvements have made this procedure even better. These improvements are very similar evolution of modern day cataract procedure has benefitted that surgery, а millions from the very moment of its approval, and that only continues to improve with time.

As with any surgical procedure, there are complications that may occur after LASIK. Fortunately, these are uncommon. No matter how uncommon, however, when complications occur, they can be quite distressing to both patients and surgeons.

Most complications can be treated without any loss of vision. Some patients experience temporary side effects after LASIK that usually disappear within three to six months. In rare situations, these effects may

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

be permanent.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

No surgery is without risk, but the physician members of the organization I represent today are dedicated to doing everything in our power to make the LASIK procedure even better for all of our patients.

It is important to recognize that, as is typical with other surgical procedures, the techniques and technology have improved over time as surgeons and manufacturers have endeavored to improve outcomes.

Examples include making the ablations smoother, fine tuning the ablations to enhance quality of vision, custom ablations designed to sharpen the visual results in individual patients, improvements in the instruments used to create the LASIK flaps in order to reduce the risks associated with this part of the procedure, as well as to improve the visual results.

Many patients seeking LASIK do so because their dry eye prevents them from

NEAL R. GROSS

wearing contact lenses successfully, and some patients develop this problem after surgery. Better treatments designed to prevent or treat dry eye have also developed over time.

Results of the LASIK procedure have reached the point where some believe it is now safer over a long period of time than the alternative of contact lens wear. Contact lens wear is, of course, subject to its own set of risks, including infection.

The ISRSAAO supports efforts to further improve the technology and techniques used in the procedure, and to identify those patients who might not respond well to the surgery or who have characteristics that might make them poor candidates for surgery so that these individuals can be counseled to avoid surgery.

In summary, ISRSAAO agrees that the safety and efficacy of the LASIK procedure has been well studied and documented in hundreds of scientific publications, and millions of

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

patients have benefitted by this procedure.
As instruments and techniques are further
refined, this procedure will continue to be
improved with time.
Thank you for allowing me to speak
to you today.
CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. Our
next speaker will be Dr. Schallhorn.
DR. SCHALLHORN: I would like to
request that Jennifer Morse speak in my place,
and I will speak in hers.
CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Our next
speaker will be Dr. Morse, followed by Dr.
Schallhorn.
DR. MORSE: Thank you. I am Dr.
Jennifer Morse, a psychiatrist, and I am an
invited member of the Joint LASIK Study Task
Force.
For financial disclosure, I am a
consultant to ASCRS, and ASCRS paid my travel
here.

Ten years ago while I was serving

as the Navy's Program Director for Psychiatry in San Diego, I became involved in research to investigate the role of psychological factors and quality of life in refractive surgery.

I am Board certified in psychiatry with subspecialty certification in psychosomatic medicine, an area of medicine that focuses on the interrelationship between psychological factors and physical symptoms.

I served 20 years as a Navy physician, retiring in 2004 as the Chairman of Psychiatry at Naval Medical Center, San Diego.

Over the course of my career, I have worked clinically with thousands of patients, as well as being an educator and researcher.

I am Distinguished Fellow of the American Psychiatric Association, and I have been an invited member of the NASA Astronaut Selection Psychological Support Team.

We know a great deal about the safety, effectiveness and very real benefits of LASIK. I've had a chance to see these

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

benefits in both military personnel and people outside the military. Clearly, however, based on what we have heard today, there are people who are raising concerns about quality of life issues.

The patient's subjective experience before, during and after the procedure is something we need to better understand. That is why we are here today, and that is why the Task Force's work is so important.

Quality of life consists of two overall components, physical and psychological. We know that around 20 million people in the U.S. have depression in any one-year period, and many of them do not seek mental health treatment.

Psychological well-being is an equally important part of quality of life, and depression is a central and widespread cause of diminished quality of life.

The vast body of scientific literature and research on depression and

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

suicide, as well as my own clinical experience, shows that the cause of these events is extremely unlikely to be a single event or factor. Rather, these events occur due to multiple factors, and this continues to be a complex area of study.

There have been many attempts in the past in other settings and situations to try to establish a direct link between these events and a single cause, but here's the problem. These attempts don't work, because depression and suicide are complex and have multiple causes.

In examining the origins and course of depressive illness, you must take into account a person's background, personality, environment, coping skills, outlook on life, and even genetic predisposition.

Depression is a complex mental illness, and while one factor may play a role, it isn't simply a matter of cause and effect.

There is no scientific evidence of any direct

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

link between LASIK and the development of depression or suicide. However, there are studies from some other elective surgeries, such as back surgery or cosmetic surgery, that have found associations between pre-operative psychological factors such as depression, anxiety and life satisfaction, and patients' perceptions of surgical outcome and level of functioning.

As a psychiatrist, I fully support increased research focused on psychological factors and their bearings on quality of life. In the case of LASIK, our current knowledge tells us quality of life after refractive surgery is similar to that of patients who have never had refractive error, and better than that, of eyeglass wearers and contact lens users.

In fact, in a study published in 2007, the glasses and contact lens users had lower quality of life scores than those patients treated with LASIK or those who

NEAL R. GROSS

naturally see 20/20.

A statistically higher percentage of glasses and contact lens users had concerns about injury, difficulty coping with demands in life, difficulty fulfilling roles, and less confidence in everyday activities.

Today, 10 years after its FDA approval, LASIK has a 95 percent satisfaction rate in the large population. However, there are small number of dissatisfied To me, this indicates that we individuals. take post-LASIK quality of to complaints seriously, but at the same time, we need to make sure we understand the real causes of these complaints in all complexity.

The result of this study will be a new base of knowledge that may help us provide additional screening and pre- and post-LASIK counseling that addresses these factors, steers some people away from LASIK, guides others through the procedure in a different

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

way based on their level of psychological risk, and in the end leads to better experiences, which is, after all, our ultimate goal. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. Dr. Schallhorn.

DR. SCHALLHORN: Thank you. My name is Dr. Steve Schallhorn, and I am here to represent the American Academy of Ophthalmology.

I appreciate the opportunity to address this Panel.

I currently practice at a private clinic in an Diego and serve on a number of committees with the Academy. I retired from the Navy last year after almost 30 years of service. While in the Navy, I founded the Department of Defense Refractive Surgery Program and directed the Navy program since its inception in 1993.

I have performed thousands of procedures on members of the military and

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

conducted numerous clinical trials to explore laser vision correction.

For disclosures, I currently as a consultant to AMO, a company that markets an excimer laser.

The American Academy of Ophthalmology was founded in 1896, and is the world's largest association of eye physicians, with more than 27,000 members worldwide. Our members are committed to responding compassionately and ethically to patients' needs and advancing the highest standards of eye care.

On behalf of the Academy, I wish to bring the following information to the attention of the FDA and the Panel.

LASIK is safe and effective. This has been documented in studies enrolling thousands of patients conducted over the last 15 years. Patient satisfaction after LASIK is excellent, higher than that reported for other forms of elective surgery. The satisfaction

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

rates in Navy LASIK studies I conducted were very similar.

LASIK has benefitted millions of patients. Quality of life is the next area where we need to focus. It is important to appreciate that quality of life is not the same as safety and effectiveness.

Published studies demonstrate an overall improvement in the quality of life after LASIK. However, because satisfaction is so high after LASIK, these previous studies could not fully assess the quality of life in those patients who were dissatisfied.

In addition, there are still a small number of patients whose outcomes are not reflected in their level of satisfaction.

While we can identify several reasons for dissatisfaction, sometimes we can't, which points to other factors.

That is why, together with the FDA, the National Eye Institute and ASCRS, the Academy is committed to participating in,

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

managing, operating and co-funding a study to further evaluate underlying factors that impact satisfaction and quality of life after LASIK.

The study will be a clinically robust evaluation of quality of life after LASIK. We will enroll a large number of patients, because of the need to have statistical validity, given the low percentage of dissatisfied patients.

Our objectives are to determine the change in quality of life after LASIK and to understand the factors associated with and satisfaction dissatisfaction. As physicians, are dedicated to doing we everything in our power to make the LASIK procedure even better for all our patients.

The Academy believes that knowledge gained from the study will benefit both patients and physicians, providing an opportunity to understand, anticipate, and eliminate issues related to patient

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

dissatisfaction.

In summary, the Academy agrees that LASIK is a safe and effective procedure that has benefitted millions of patients. As we have done for every surgical procedure, we will continue to refine and improve LASIK for our patients.

Let me close with a story that describes what LASIK means for many patients. It is from a patient that requested laser vision correction many years ago. He was a firefighter on a ship and a successful contact lens wearer.

A fire broke out on the ship which killed several people. After hours battling the out of control blaze, he went to replace his breathing safe area to Upon taking off his mask, smoke apparatus. and fumes caused intense contact discomfort. He had to remove the lens, but did not have time to retrieve another pair.

He then donned another mask and

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

went on to fight the fire, eventually putting it out. He subsequently received a Presidential medal for his heroism for fighting the fire while in a legally blind state.

He sent me a letter requesting laser vision correction, because he was sure it would be a life saver. Soon afterwards, he underwent the procedure, and is how exceptionally happy.

This illustrates the very real benefit that LASIK can provide for our patients. The goal of this study is to ensure that those significant benefits are preserved and quality of life issues are effectively addressed.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Terylyn F. Bankes, Dr. Terylyn F. Bankes.

DR. BANKES: I am Dr. Terylyn F. Bankes, and I have no conflict of interest

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

with LASIK surgery.

I am going to present my part, and then I have been requested by a patient, Kim Harringer, to report on her story as well.

I have heard about the problems -CHAIRPERSON WEISS: As long as you
do it in five minutes.

DR. BANKES: I will. I have heard about the problems that patients with poor visual outcomes have been experiencing since 2000. I volunteered to be the physician counselor for several LASIK patient support group meetings held throughout the Tampa Bay area from 2001 through 2004.

Meetings consisted of from 10 to 30 various members, all of whom had visual problems following refractive surgery. Members described a variety of emotional responses, including depression, anxiety, difficulty sleeping, and panic attacks. Many had self-anger, taking responsibility for their poor outcomes upon themselves.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

They bitterly complained of expense of trying to find visual solutions. Some called LASIK the "stupidest thing I ever They bemoaned their loss of autonomy, did." especially their decreased night vision and visual aberrations that kept them from recognizing people when in dim light situations, made night driving an impossibility, reduced their ability to read, and threatened their job security.

Many became obsessed with their vision and reported that they felt estranged from family and friends who did not want to talk to them anymore about their continuing insolvable problems.

The ophthalmologic surgeons routinely discounted their symptoms or were unable to deal with them as patients. I heard stories of true depression, suicidal ideation and rage.

Ophthalmologists I currently work with on a daily basis have expressed the

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

concern of what LASIK will do for cataract surgery.

The method to calculate the power of the intraocular lens required for cataract surgery is unknown, and the community ophthalmologists have no way to assess the intraocular lens requirements. Therefore, they are unwilling to treat these patients.

As our LASIK patients age, the lens calculations will be a growing problem.

Another recent question involves the increasing number of LASIK flap MRSA infections. Optometrists have expressed to me the concern that this might become an epidemic. What does the future hold for these LASIK patients?

The problems with LASIK are a open secret among ophthalmologists, many of whom have patients in their practices with poor outcomes. I fail to understand how the ophthalmologic community can defend the continuing production of life altering

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

complications, and I view it as a true disgrace of modern medicine.

I challenge this FDA Panel to take the necessary action to protect our patients in the United States. Thank you.

Now I am going to report on Kim Harringer's story. Kim Harringer is a 44-year-old woman who had -- is married, was a Registered Nurse and has rheumatoid arthritis.

She had RK surgery in -- 14 years ago, and then her vision regressed. So back in 2006 she saw a surgeon who did LASIK surgery on top of her previous surgery.

He told her that he could do the LASIK surgery with no problem. Immediately following the surgery, there was a problem. What ensued was a nightmare of increasing frustration, despair, depression, Kim sought to find out what happened to her vision.

She was aided in her research for relief by family members who sought to understand what was going on with her. She

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

was having constant problems with depression.

She was depressed and suicidal. She was admitted to a mental hospital five times.

On her fifth admission, she was diagnosed as having hysterical blindness. Finally, recently, she found an optometrist who was able to fit her with some hard contacts which, while still she has problems, have improved her vision somewhat.

She asks -- When asked what people would like to learn from her experience, she is very clear. The whole health field in general needs to be aware and reconsider. Not everyone fits into some textbook diagnosis.

remedies Medical trail medical advances in the light of LASIK surgery, and for patients like Kim, we have lot of catching to do when it comes up to understanding and treating poor outcomes.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. The

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

next -- I would ask the audience if we could restrain from applause, because then it becomes a little bit of a popularity contest.

So we are listening to everyone. Trust me, the Panel is hearing everyone.

The next speaker will be Dr. Michael Mullery.

DR. MULLERY: My name is Michael Mullery, M.D., MBA. I am a graduate of the University of Notre Dame and the Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine. I am a Board certified medical specialist with a secondary interest in psychiatrist.

The refractive surgery industry has known since its inception that LASIK surgery carriers a risk of depression and suicide. Bad outcomes are not rare, and these outcomes can result in serious qualitative and quantitative vision losses, including blindness.

Now the FDA is allowing the very entities that have publicly engaged in

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

minimizing these risks to conduct studies looking at LASIK and its effect on quality of life. This is an overt conflict of interest that defies both common sense and the scientific method.

There can be no objectivity when the bottom lien is the very survival of one's multi-billion dollar cash cow. It is time to leave the study of the psychological consequences of vision loss after LASIK to mental health professionals that are better trained to study this and lack any financial interest in the outcome.

the 1999, Journal of In Psychosomatics, July/August, researchers from Griffith University in Australia, conjunction with the World Health Organization's Center for Suicide Research, reported on a study that compared suicide secondary to vision loss to suicide secondary to hearing loss.

The study found that 63 percent of

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

hearing impaired suicides had a history of mental illness, compared to only eight percent of the sight impaired suicides. In this study, fear of sight loss and the uncertainty about future vision emerged as greater risk factors for suicide than complete blindness.

Most importantly, however, sight loss itself was identified as the main causal factor for vision suicides, whereas hearing suicides were coupled with several other causal factors.

I would estimate that I have interviewed close to 75 people who have developed suicidal ideation as a result of LASIK. What I've found is that very few of these patients have a history of depression or other psychiatric problems.

This finding is consistent with the Griffith University-WHO study of vision loss and suicide, and inconsistent with the industry's claims that preexisting psychopathology is responsible.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

If vision loss is causal, as the Griffith University-WHO study claimed, then better screening for preexisting psychological problems is not the answer. Stopping the vision loss is.

How many totally preventable deaths can the FDA allow when there is absolutely no medical need for a procedure? In the following case LASIK itself is undoubtedly the sole causal factor in this man's suicidal ideation.

A.J. underwent LASIK and ended up with a result that his surgeon could not explain, severely over-corrected with irregular astigmatism, A.J. could not even read the big E after his surgery.

In A.J.'s own words, "My life and world changed beyond description the next days following my surgery. First, fear set in, as I felt alone and helpless, as my life became an endless routine. I was sitting in front of slit lamps, with no improvement in my vision.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I was constantly nauseous from the vision imbalance and resulting headaches. Then out of the blue, panic set in. I began to cry all the time.

"There seemed no easy way out of the situation I had created for myself. The simple solution to eliminate glasses had become my first ever experience with depression, deep, deep depression, which I tried to hide from my wife.

"My business was suffering and I became obsessed with my vision, to the point that I was becoming unglued. I had always been a very stable person. I had no history of mental illness, depression or drug addiction, nor had I ever been prone to violence. But now for the first time in my life, I was suicidal and full of rage.

"How could I stop this from happening to someone else, and how could I make my own pain go away?"

In another case a dental student

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

without any history of psychological problems reports himself becoming suicidal after his LASIK left him with constant headaches, blur, and vision that could not be corrected with glasses.

"I shutter to think how close I was to ending my life," he says. "Taking eight courses and studying for a national license exam with one semi-functional eye was a hellish nightmare."

This patient reached the point where he found himself with a half-drunk bottle of vodka in one hand and a loaded gun in the other. Incidentally, his surgeon considered him a success.

Another patient, S.D., with no prior psychological history reports becoming suicidal when LASIK surgery to correct for myopia produced finger-only vision in one eye and 20/200 vision in the other. She is now awaiting bilateral corneal transplantations.

She reports that collusion by local

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

refractive surgeons added to her sense of despair, as none of the local refractive surgeons who saw her for second opinions even mentioned to her that she had ectasia.

In conclusion, patients are killing themselves as a result of failed LASIK. A risk of death is not an acceptable risk for a totally unnecessary procedure with no medical benefit.

Research on this issue remains virtually nil, and thus the full extent of this catastrophic complication remains unknown. Public safety is best served by a moratorium on these procedures so that these previously unstudied risks can be evaluated and understood.

Studies looking at the effects of unsuccessful LASIK with depression and suicidal ideations should be done by mental health professionals and suicidologists who are better able to assess mental health issues and who lack the financial conflict of

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

interest that LASIK surgeons and co-managing optometrists obviously have.

If a moratorium is not immediately instituted, the labeling of the excimer lasers for the surgical correction of myopia, hyperopia and astigmatism should be altered to include risk of suicide and depression, even in the absence of any preexisting psychiatric history. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Courtney Henrichs.

MS. HENRICHS: Hi. My name is Courtney Henrichs, and my travel here is paid for by ASCRS, but I am here on my own accord to tell you about the positive experience of LASIK surgery.

Two years ago I had LASIK surgery performed by Dr. Maloney in L.A. through the Focus on Independence Program. It has been a positive, life changing experience for me.

In 2002 I broke my neck in a downhill skiing accident, leaving me

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

quadriplegic. Prior to my accident, I had worn contact lenses.

Because of the very limited use of my hands and fingers, I had to make the switch to glasses, but wearing glasses as a quadriplegic also had its drawbacks. If they smudged, someone had to clean them for me. In the rain, someone had to dry them for me.

In Wisconsin where I live, it is cold, and when I came in from the outside, they would often fog up, and I would either have to wait for them to clear or someone would have to wipe them again for me.

Also, when I would wake up in the middle of the night, I wouldn't be able to see, and I would have to wake someone else up to bring me my glasses. I also had issues with the glasses sliding down my nose while trying to work on homework. I would be able to push them back up, but in the process I would once again smudge them, and someone else had to clean them for me.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

It was an exciting day when I finally had my LASIK. I was fairly confident, because I had two pre-operative appointments, one with Dr. Sprik in Wausau, Wisconsin, and the other done by Dr. Maloney himself when I reached L.A.

I sat up a few minutes afterwards, and I looked around and was thrilled at how clearly I could see. I could even read the clock, which beforehand was something that would have been impossible for me to do.

By the end of that day, I already had 20/15 vision. After having so much of my independence taken away, I felt like I was given something back. It was like my own little miracle.

I didn't need the help of others with my glasses any longer. This may not seem like much for those of you that don't require assistance of others, but for me it is one more step toward independence, and I don't take it for granted.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I am a college student now, and I am grateful every day for the gift of LASIK, and I hope LASIK can continue to give others that same gift of independence as it has given me.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you very much. Our next speaker will be Amanda Campbell.

MR. KROUNER: Good morning again.

I am here on behalf of Amanda Campbell. My
name is Todd Krouner. I was recently retained
by her. She is a widow now in Brentwood,
Tennessee, whose husband, Lawrence Campbell, a
police officer with that police force, shot
himself with his service revolver last month
on March 16, 2008.

Ms. Campbell regrets that, given her current circumstances, she is not able to afford the trip here to Maryland today.

In a March 25, 2008, article reported in the OSN supersite, Dr. Richard Lindstrom, who you know as President of ASCRS

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and OSN Chief Medical Editor, is quoted as asserting that there is no correlation whatsoever between adverse LASIK outcomes and suicide.

Presumably, Dr. Lindstrom did not have the benefit of reading police officer Campbell's suicide note.

In my April 15, 2008, written submission to the FDA, I referred to Dr. Lindstrom's assertion, but in my letter I also questioned the integrity of certain Titans in the LASIK industry, and I want to be clear that none of those comments are meant to cast any such aspersion on Dr. Lindstrom. However, I respectfully disagree with his absolute position based on the explicit statements in police officer Campbell's suicide note from just last month.

He states: "No one knows what I am feeling, constant pain, blurred vision, stress that I never had before. If only Dr. Robert P. Selkin had done a pre-op and knew I was not

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

a candidate for LASIK surgery.

"No one understands truly my burden I carry, dry eyes, cannot light a fire, cannot stand in front of air conditioning, cannot cook without opening a window, cannot spray deodorant. The list goes on and on.

"I just cannot burden my family for a poor decision, eye surgery, which Selkin knew was wrong but only wanted money, and I will not live on drugs, Xanax. I never used that drug wrong, a half a milligram at night and sparingly in the afternoon on a bad day. I am not a drug user. Never could I do this, as it has ruined so many lives."

He goes on to state, "Do not have LASIK surgery. Tell the media."

On behalf of the Campbell family, that is why I am here on their behalf today. If there were any question about the cause of police officer Campbell's suicide, he states explicitly: "To blame for this, Selkin eye surgery. Eye surgery has taken my life out of

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

me. The pain, distorted vision, chronic dry eye is not bearable" -- emphasis in original.

Out of fairness to Dr. Robert P. Selkin, I do not know today if he committed medical malpractice in the tragic Campbell case. However, out of fairness to Lawrence Campbell's widow and two children, to date inexplicably they have been denied access to eye records.

What is clear in this case -- and I emphasize this one case -- is that LASIK surgery clearly constituted a material contributing factor, if not the sole factor, to police officer Campbell's suicide.

To Mrs. Campbell's knowledge, prior to his LASIK surgery she reports that her husband suffered from no mental illness whatsoever.

Now you have heard today and certainly recognize that LASIK surgery helps the vast majority of patients who have it. For a small minority, their regret is

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

profound.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

For a smaller minority of patients still, depression associated with their visual disability is real. The association with suicide and bad LASIK outcomes, however rare, cannot be dismissed, and warrants further investigation.

As a final point, I would just like to call the FDA's attention to its reporting obligations. The FDA's work should be transparent and readily available to the public. It is not always.

Nine months ago, my law firm served a Freedom of Information request to obtain information concerning the Administration's recall of the Alcon LADARVision 6000. To date, we have still received no substantive response.

Vindication of injured patients' rights depends on timely disclosure of such information. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. Our

NEAL R. GROSS

next speaker will be Dr. Roger Davis.

DR. DAVIS: Panel members, my name is Roger Davis. I have a PhD in clinical psychology with perhaps 20 publications, including several co-authored books and psychological tests.

Back in 2000 I served as Director of Research for the Surgical Eyes Foundation, now VRSN. I have communicated with about 300 patients with LASIK complications. Over 100 have told me that they have considered suicide because of their LASIK. Perhaps 90 percent indicates some element of deception, which then drives the development of posttraumatic stress, depression and suicidal ideation.

While Director of Research, we submitted a study on depression and suicidal thoughts to a major refractive surgery journal. Among 58 patients admitting suicidal ideation, 83 percent stated they were told they were a success by their surgeon.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

In 115 patients who were severely depressed, the number was 76 percent. Interestingly, the single complication most strongly associated with suicidal ideation was dry eye syndrome, considered a side effect or symptom.

My most important point here today is that patients respond emotionally to their total situation, not simply to their eyes. With minor complications, they develop various adjustment disorders. With severe complications, however, they develop what I have termed refractive surgery shock syndrome, which includes major depression, suicidal ideation and post-traumatic stress.

Suicidal patients pass through several stages of inquiry. First, they ask such questions as "Will I ever get my vision and my life back? Why didn't they tell me Why didn't my informed this could happen? consent mention this? Why didn't my surgeon tell Ι could have than me more one

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

complication?"

Eventually patients move on to ask questions about their surgeon: "Why is my surgeon telling me nothing is wrong with my eyes? Why are my complaints not being recorded in my medical chart? Is my surgeon really as good as he was presented? What if my surgeon really does not understand what is wrong with my eyes? If my doctor doesn't understand, will anyone understand?"

Eventually, patients find others like themselves on the Internet, and now they begin asking questions about the industry itself: If LASIK is so safe, why are so many other patients out there with complications? Why are so many patients telling the same story? How are they getting away with this? Why doesn't the FDA step in and stop this? Why don't honest doctors speak up about this? Is it really all about money? Am I the victim of a medical cover-up?

Obviously, every patient who thinks

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

about suicide following LASIK wants to get away from their eyes. Beyond this, however, there are individual differences.

Some individuals have traveled widely looking for solutions. Since they have done everything they could possibly do, for them suicide seems like a rational option. Here, suicidal thoughts express the desire to be done with the journey.

Many individuals see themselves as victims of a corrupt industry. They feel powerless to help themselves or others. Here, suicidal wishes express the desire not to be a victim, simply to return to a world of integrity, compassion and purity.

Some patients vacillate between periods of crisis and exhaustion, living on the bring, constantly in fear that their vision is getting worse. They have no time for anti-LASIK activism. Here, suicidal the desire wishes express to escape anxiety and unpredictability of complications.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Some patients feel that no one understands their situation, not their doctor, not their family, not even other patients. Suicidal wishes express the desire to have the severity of their vision issues finally appreciated. If I kill myself, someone will finally understand how bad it was.

Some patients feel that they paid to have their vision destroyed. Here, suicidal thoughts express guilt at having wasted one's potential as a human being.

In my experience, no pre-existing psychopathology is necessary for patients to develop suicidal ideation post-LASIK. Decades of psychological research has shown that catastrophic injuries of all kinds produce a period of prolonged psychological crisis and adjustment. Why should catastrophic LASIK injuries be any different?

I have not known perfectionism or body dysmorphic disorder to play a role in post-LASIK depression or suicide.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I ask that the upcoming FDA study address psychological construct such as deception and adequacy of informed consent in existing patients, in a prospective study that could decide the future of the industry. Patients may have different experiences and a higher standard of care.

Also, I suggest to the Panel that, if the FDA wants to understand depression and suicide post-LASIK, forget about satisfaction surveys. If you want to understand suicidal patients, study suicidal patients. You can find as many as you want.

Finally, I ask the Panel to declare a moratorium on the use of the excimer laser for refractive surgery. Research connecting complications to quality of life problems provides the ethical basis for informed consent. That research should have been done 10 years ago.

Because this research does not yet exist, refractive surgery cannot be performed

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ethically, whatever its satisfaction or complication rate. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Our next speaker will be Jo Ann Wills.

MS. WILLS: I don't know whether being the first or the last is more unnerving for the speaker.

My name is Jo Wills. I am here to speak about my husband, Keith Wills. I had a long presentation that I was going to give you, but I think I have changed my ways here.

My husband had LASIK surgery in 1997 in October. He spent almost 11 years. He went to a doctor who was under an IDE study who didn't tell us that it was an IDE study. We had no information that this was a study until we went to our lawyer to sue this doctor.

The doctor performed seven surgeries on my husband, or enhancements to correct the initial problems. He undercorrected my husband, so he could over-correct

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

later.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The doctor was deceitful. He even tried to convince me to have LASIK surgery that day. He definitely wanted to make money.

Prior to his surgery, he didn't get the form that was approved by the SAIRB. Apparently it wasn't even approved until just weeks before my husband had surgery.

all husband has the Μy same problems the other people that have problems. Не has ghosting, starbursts, multiple visions. He sees seven of me in one eye and four of -- or three of me in one eye, four of me in another. He's got seven wives.

I have been listening today, and I noticed that there is a lot of people that have come forward that are in the industry. They have something to benefit from. The military, it seems, has had a high success, but they police themselves.

I don't see FDA actually policing these doctors. Unfortunately, it seems that

NEAL R. GROSS

most of these people here that are having problems are having problems because they went to the wrong doctor, one that didn't do the proper work that they were supposed to do before in evaluating these people for their surgery. In closing, I want to mention that I noticed that none of you up here wear glasses. Is there any reason why? Thank you for letting me speak today. CHAIRPERSON WEISS: We will answer that one during Panel. Next, we have a few speakers who were not on the initial list. Rebecca Petris, please. AUDIENCE MEMBER: May I make a point of order? CHAIRPERSON WEISS: No, you may not. This is not the time for point of order. What are going to do is we

just because we have such an

proceedings,

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

unusually large number of speakers today.

Mr. Edward Bosnick. Sir, you can begin next, and if that -- We can go out of order. No, if you are ready, you can begin. Thank you.

DR. BOSHNICK: I am Dr. Edward Boshnick. I am an optometrist in Miami, Florida, in private practice for over 37 years.

Over the years, I have devoted the major portion of my practice to the non-surgical treatment of patients who have lost quality vision due to ocular trauma, disease, and refractive eye surgery, including LASIK.

Over these years, I have taken care of several hundred post-refractive surgical patients. The great majority of these patients had LASIK. Many had two or more procedures in each eye.

Please bear in mind that I don't get to see happy post-LASIK patients. Some of the patients I have seen have lost their jobs

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and families as a result of their vision loss.

Two of my patients have attempted suicide on multiple occasions. Three of my patients have spoken to me about suicidal thoughts.

complications The Т have seen include severely disordered corneas resulting loss of best corrected visual visual distortions known as higher under-correction aberrations, and overcorrection of their visual errors, nighttime and indoor vision disorders including halos, glare, ghosting and multiple vision, and also severe dry eye, of course, which is fairly common; loss of contrast sensitivity, which is the ability to distinguish between different shades of light and gray; corneal ectasia, which is a gradual protrusion of the corneal surface; depression and its consequences. would say that most of patients my are depressed, and many are on anti-depressant medications.

From what I have seen and witnessed

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

in my many patients, I feel at the present time LASIK presents a significant public health crisis.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you.

DR. BOSHNICK: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Rebecca Petris.

MS. PETRIS: Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak.

I used to be a commercial jet finance specialist. I left that career a few year ago because of problems I suffered from LASIK, chronic pain from dry eye and reduced vision.

I started several years ago a small nonprofit organization for people with complications of laser eye surgery. It is called Laser My Eye.

Dry eye quickly emerged as the leading problem that we were facing from people seeking out help. As a result of that, I went on to start a company called The Dry Eye Company to try and find more resources for

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

people with dry eye.

That company runs a number of informational websites for people with dry eye syndrome. A large percentage, of course, of the people that come there are suffering from severe dry eye after LASIK, seeking out help.

One of the things that we do is collect little known products that can help people with dry eye that they are not learning about from their doctors.

I missed the deadline to request a speaking slot here, because I was too busy working with these patients that are looking for help after LASIK. I took a Redeye here from Seattle last night, because I could not tear myself off the phone.

I spoke yesterday morning with a man in Arkansas for about an hour and a half who was suicidal. He was three months post-op from LASIK, and what he kept telling me was, Rebecca, why didn't anybody tell me this could happen? Why didn't anyone tell me how bad it

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

could be? They told me I could get dry eye. It was on the form. I understood, but they said we'll give you some drops, we'll give you some plugs; it will be okay, and it will probably go away quickly.

I get these kinds of calls every day, all day long. The vast majority of my time is spent speaking with patients who are going through this, not three months after surgery, but six months, 12 months, three years, six years after surgery, people who have done everything and been everywhere. They have traveled to national and international experts, and they have not found remedies, and I don't have answers for them.

There's been a lot of talk here today from the industry saying we care, but I am not seeing the compassion. I want to see it.

There are a lot of doctors here today. I wish they could be flies on the wall of my office during the day, hearing the

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

wreckage of the patients' lives and their families' that are just looking for help.

I agree with the presenter that said that depression and anxiety and suicide are not -- they don't come from a single factor, not just from the fact that they had LASIK, absolutely not.

It is because they did not get proper informed consent. They went through the surgery. They did not get treated properly afterwards. They wandered from doctor to doctor afterwards. No one understood their problems. They haven't had solutions to the problems that they are facing, financial problems.

They are facing a host of factors, all related to the LASIK. It is not about the 20 minute surgery. It is about what came before and about what has come after it. It is the lack of solutions for them.

Few people understand that dry eye pain after LASIK can drive people absolutely

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to distraction. I can't tell you how many people I know are on short term or long term disability now because of this kind of pain. They need help.

If the industry were interested in helping, if they are interested in helping, they need to rally around the consumer groups that are looking for help. They need to come to us with practical solutions to help. Plugs and drugs are not doing it for us.

We've got people we talk to that have been everywhere. They have volunteered for every clinical trial going. They are scrounging together anything they can to just hold it together from day to day.

I don't organized notes for presentations for you today. I am simply overwhelmed with the need. I feel like triage nurse with all these people coming, seeking help, for whom I just don't have enough answers, and that is what I am here for today, just to appeal to you for help to prevent more

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of this, and to help us get solutions for the people that are facing problems from LASIK.

Thank you for giving me the time.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. Mr. Roger Barnes.

LT. COLONEL BARNES: I am Lieutenant Colonel Scott Barnes. I wasn't sure that I would have an opportunity to speak from the Army's perspective, and so I gave a brief few words when I was speaking on behalf of Doyle Stulting.

In my role as the -- I am at Fort Bragg, North Carolina, as I mentioned. I am a cornea and refractive surgery trained specialist, and when I went through -- Prior to understanding what ophthalmology was or knowing anything about -- or becoming an eye surgeon, I was working a different side of the fence with Special Operations arena.

That includes the Green Berets, the Army Rangers, Special Operations, Aviation Regiments, which are the people that fly the

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

helicopters that may have been popularized in Black Hawk Down, and a number of classified units that we don't talk about exist, but they do some significant work.

Really since the year 2000, the Army has -- or the Special Operations Command, particularly, said, hey, now that you've gone off and become an eye surgeon, we need you to come back and help us decide if we should have a refractive surgery program for these particular people. I said, well, the Army has decided it's a good thing.

They said, we don't care what the Army says; we want to know from one of our guys that used to be out there doing the things that we are doing, jumping out of planes and diving and flying helicopters -- we need to know, is it safe for us, because we don't trust anybody, even the Regular Army.

So I said, sure, I'll come up to Fort Bragg, and I'll spend a couple of years doing some work to help decide if this is the

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

program that we should institute.

Now these guys are fairly unique, and to dispel any rumors, they don't just take everything. They complain a lot. If something isn't perfect, they complain. If things don't work out well for them, they complain, and they don't have any qualms in saying you screwed up my life, if we do something wrong.

So it behooves us as physicians to make sure that we are going to be giving them something that is going to enhance their quality of life, make them be able to do their job better, and to avoid problems if they are there; and we all know that problems can occur in any surgical procedure. Everyone has said that.

The bottom line with most of these guys -- and they were very concerned when I talked to them and said, hey, I'm going to be going up to Washington, D.C., and I may have an opportunity to speak on your behalf. And

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

they said, doc, whatever you do, tell them that, no matter what happens anywhere else, please don't take this away from us. Please don't take it away from us.

When I go out there -- they call it outside of the wire," outside of the protected area -- When I go outside of the wire and I can't see somebody as well as they can see me, that's a significant quality of life issue.

If I end up losing my glasses when I jump out of a plane at 25,000 feet, I can't stop on the way to Pearl Vision and get a set of glasses. It affects my quality of life. It affects what I am able to do, what I can do for the guys that are depending on me.

So it's a significant issue for these guys. They are not talking about cosmetic needs. They are not talking about it would be nice to not take my pictures in glasses. They are saying, I can't do my job as well in glasses or contacts as I can after refractive surgery.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So it makes -- And when they go off to battle, they end up knowing that if they ever get caught as a prisoner of war, if they are -5, 06 diopter myope, the first thing that is always done is that your glasses are broken and taken from you. They don't even need to put a guard on you. You will not be able to find your way out when you can't see.

If they are lost and behind enemy lines, and they are trying to evade and trying to depend on maybe seeing some of their guys come by so they can get rescued, they are not going to jump out of the bushes when they are a 06 myope and say I can't even see who that is. I'm not going to jump up and say are you an insurgent or are you my buddy, because it is a life risk for them to do that.

So for them to say, I don't have to wear glasses or contacts, I now can see to see my own guys, I can see to distinguish bad guy/good guy, I can see to safely jump out of a plane, I can see to dive underwater, I can

NEAL R. GROSS

see safely to fly a helicopter at night -- and we do most of our work at night. Most all of our work in the military is at night. That phrase, "we own the night" -- that's kind of something that we look at.

So night glare, halo, those issues -- huge matters for us. So I don't -- I'm not going to belabor the point anymore. I've spoken enough on that, but the word from the guys that are out there standing in harm's way whose lives depend on their ability to see to do their job, are asking you to please not take this away. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Thank you. Our next speaker will be Mr. Joseph Schell.

MR. SCHELL: That's Joseph Schnell.

I had LASIK performed on myself on both eyes on March 29, 2007, at LASIK-Plus in New Jersey using the Bausch & Lomb 217A Technolas excimer laser. I will be addressing quality of life issues as well as commenting on my experience just with refractive eye surgery and thoughts

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

about this industry.

My overall experience with LASIK has been and continues to be at odds with what the refractive eye surgery industry, specifically LASIK, advertises and promotes for prospective patients. There is no event in my life that has so negatively impacted my physical, emotional, visual and psychological health as my LASIK procedure.

This is so, despite having been classified as having a fairly good result by an anti-LASIK optometrist, having received no significant determination of problems from evaluations at Eye Hospital and University of Pennsylvania's Scheie Institute, both located in Philadelphia, PA.

I personally have deemed my vision and eye health to be far inferior now than it was before LASIK, and I am amazed at how this elective procedure is pushed and promoted as being so beneficial for the patient.

Even if there were no serious

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

complications or side effects, LASIK's effects, including intended effects, are at odds with what is beneficial for patients. I was shocked after having learned with the passage of time that many of the so called possible side effects are quite universal and far from remote possibilities as the informed consent and doctors, clinics, employees of LASIK would have patients believe.

If you talk long enough to almost any LASIK patient, you hear about dry hear in varying degrees, lack of crisp vision compared to glasses, the much worse close vision than with glasses for older patients, astigmatism, many times not correctable with ordinary contact lenses qlasses or and sometimes not at all.

A former union member of mine suggested I consider LASIK, because our health plan covered most of the cost of the procedure. He had recently had the procedure done at the time he told me.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

About one and a half years later, I heard from yet another member of the same union tell me of how bitterly the first mentioned member was complaining of night vision disturbances and how miserable he was over the effects of LASIK, and herein lies a very disturbing phenomenon: Many glowing testimonials from LASIK patients, despite the fact their vision has markedly decreased from once what it was.

I know of at least one reference suggesting that patients of LASIK and other forms of refractive eye surgery have such poor dim light perception that it would render them unable to obtain a driver's license in Germany.

After LASIK, I acquired many problems as a result of the surgery. At night, I experience glare, halos, starbursts, and disturbing astigmatism as I look at the moon, traffic lights and other lights on billboards and such.

NEAL R. GROSS

My dim light perception is significantly less than what it was before LASIK. Many times when driving, I look into less lit areas of the roadway and see only darkness. I still have memories of what my nighttime vision was before LASIK, and it was excellent. Now it is quite poor.

right eye has significant Мγ ghosting, even during the day. Ιt considerably worse when I am stressed or sick. It seems as if my eyes never work together as well to before as they used the LASIK They are often uncomfortable procedure. during the day, sometimes feeling dry, sometimes feeling watery and sensitive.

I had floaters before LASIK, but they multiplied extensively after LASIK, especially in the right eye. These new floaters make reading and driving more difficult, on top of the other LASIK induced problems I experienced, because many are in the central field of my vision.

NEAL R. GROSS

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Another recent problem that has developed is that, when I look to my extreme left, I see double of everything.

My eye and vision problems are not the only result of LASIK. Directly coinciding with the aftermath of my LASIK problems, I have and continue to experience physical and psychological problems as well.

Within one or two weeks after LASIK, I began to suffer from suicide ideation and extreme anxiety. Sometimes I felt like my heart would just give out.

A clinical psychologist and optometrist have told me that I am most likely suffering from some form of post-traumatic stress disorder. The suicide ideation had mostly resolved itself from the tremendous support I received from fellow LASIK sufferers and doctors who treat post-LASIK problems.

For some months, I had daily nausea and gastrointestinal upset. I still experience these symptoms to this day, though

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

not necessarily every day.

I have developed a swallowing reflex that I cannot will away. I sometimes choke on my food. I oftentimes feel as though someone is pressing both of their thumbs on the front of my neck under my Adam's apple.

I also oftentimes develop a lump in my throat when driving in the dark, as I know my dim light perception is not what it once was.

I wake almost every day with very dry mouth and nasal passages. Even when I am feeling somewhat positive, this feeling of impending dread overtakes me at times. I never had the above symptoms until they shortly developed after my LASIK procedure.

No stress or passing of loved ones have come even close to the suffering I have experienced, and still do, as a result of my LASIK procedure and severe regret of buying a package of lies that fooled me into getting the surgery on two of my most precious organs.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The informed consents are structured and legally tweaked to protect the company and the LASIK surgeon. There is a statement at LASIK-Plus informed consent that separates the center and surgeon as separate entities.

I only met with my surgeon five minutes before the procedure. He stated that I received the informed consent one week before my LASIK procedure. I told him he was mistaken about that.

I was given the informed consent on the day of the surgery, after my eyes had been dilated. I could barely see the print, if at all.

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Would you be able to conclude your comments, please?

MR. SCHELL: Yes. In retrospect, I realize I should have exercised better judgment, the way things went down, but I thought LASIK had been around long enough that this is the way things are done.

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	In the brochure that LASIK
2	CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Unfortunately,
3	we will have to end at this point. Thank you.
4	We have heard from all the speakers
5	in the public session. What I would like to
6	do before we break for lunch is open up from
7	the Panel questions to any of those who have
8	spoken.
9	Does any members of the Panel have
10	any questions? Yes, please. If you are going
11	to ask a question, for the transcriptionist,
12	if you put on your microphone, identify
13	yourself so that it facilitates their job.
14	yes, please?
15	MS. COFER: Paula Cofer, patient
16	representative. I have a question for Dr.
17	Kerry Solomon.
18	CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Dr. Solomon,
19	can you come up to the podium?
20	MS. COFER: Do I have time for
21	several questions?
22	CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Is Dr. Solomon

1	In the room? I think Dr. Solomon has left.
2	Is there any of the other LASIK surgeons here
3	that you wanted to address that question to?
4	MS. COFER: Anyone that
5	participated in the 95.4 percent patient
6	satisfaction meta analysis, or can speak to
7	that.
8	CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Dr. Donnenfeld
9	is going to come up to the podium. Can you
10	put on the mic?
11	MS. COFER: Good morning. Thank
12	you for stepping up.
13	Are you familiar with the 95.4
14	percent meta analysis survey that was done of
15	the peer reviewed literature?
16	CHAIRPERSON WEISS: If you could
17	put a microphone on, again.
18	DR. DONNENFELD: I am familiar with
19	the article, certainly not as familiar as Dr.
20	Solomon, however.
21	MS. COFER: Okay. Well, you may
22	not be able to answer my questions, but the
	1

question that I have about it is: Would you agree that the surgeons who publish in the peer reviewed literature are generally surgeons that perform better screening? They are more conservative than the average LASIK surgeon, say someone who operates at a high volume LASIK center?

Another way to ask it is: Would you agree that there is no evidence to support that the studies retained in the meta analysis are representative of the standard of care?

DR. DONNENFELD: I would suggest that well trained LASIK surgeons can routinely achieve the results that were obtained in the meta analysis, and that the results probably underestimate the quality results, since most of those results were obtained with older technology, using methodology that we no longer use today. And I would suggest that the results we see today are far better than the ones that Dr. Solomon presented.

MS. COFER: I don't think you

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

answered the part of my question about the type of doctors that publish in the peer review literature versus the doctors that do not.

DONNENFELD: far DR. As as the quality of doctors performing laser surgery, I would suggest that there are always going to be doctors who are better and doctors who are not as good. I think it is important to suggest that patients who look for surgery, look for the best possible doctors to perform their surgery.

I can't really comment on doctors who don't publish.

MS. COFER: I understand. I'm just interested in your opinion.

I have another question. In the meta analysis that was published, do you believe that they are suggesting that the complication be derived rate can by subtracting the percentage satisfied of patients from 100 percent? In other words,

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	are we looking at a four percent complication
2	rate, based on a 95 percent or 95.4 percent
3	satisfaction rate?
4	DR. DONNENFELD: Absolutely not.
5	It is impossible to suggest that complications
6	and satisfaction have a one to one
7	relationship. It is a very difficult area to
8	evaluate.
9	MS. COFER: Okay. And do you know
10	overall how far out the satisfaction surveys
11	tracked the patients in the meta analysis?
12	DR. DONNENFELD: The meta analysis
13	involved literally hundreds of studies that
14	were evaluated, and some of the studies were
15	as short as three months. Others went out two
16	years of follow-up.
17	MS. COFER: Thank you. One last
18	question. Is it true that the patients that
19	are told that complications of LASIK such as
20	dry eyes, night vision impairment these
21	complications will resolve with time?

The reason I am wording it that way

is, if a patient was surveyed at six months and this patient had night vision impairment or dry eyes, do you think it is possible that they would answer that they are satisfied with their visual outcome, because they are believing at six months they are expecting these complications to resolve? So do you think that is a factor in these surveys?

DR. DONNENFELD: I am not an expert in epidemiology, but I can say from having cared for tens of thousands of patients that patients do not say they are satisfied when they aren't happy, expecting to be satisfied in the future.

Patients who are unhappy will generally voice their opinions at every step of the way.

MS. COFER: Actually, I did have one more question. I'm sorry. Is that okay?

CHAIRPERSON WEISS: Can we make this the last question that you have for Dr. Donnenfeld?

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. COFER: Just one more question.

Did the study -- I have only seen the press release. I haven't actually seen the data, but did the study, the 95.4 percent meta analysis study -- did it report the percentage of patients that were experiencing dry eyes and night vision impairment in the studies?

DR. DONNENFELD: I can address the dry eye. I was part of that, and in the meta analysis, I believe it was 35 percent of patients reported dry eye following their LASIK surgery, and 32 percent of patients reported dry eye prior to their surgery.

So that dry eye does occur after surgery. It is a very common problem that we see. Probably one in four adult individuals in the United States experiences some form of dry eye. So that it does occur after surgery, as it does occur before surgery as well, and that at times laser surgery can make dry eyes worse.

MS. COFER: The information on the

NEAL R. GROSS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21